

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,541	12/19/2001	Richard Joseph Caballero	M-12420-2C US	2447
33031	7590 06/03/2	05	EXAM	INER
	L STEPHENSON VOOD SPRINGS R	O CONNOR, GERALD J		
BLDG. 4, SU		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX	78759		3627	•

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>		
4		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
٧		10/028,541	Caballero et al.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	=	O'Connor	3627		
Period f	 The MAILING DATE of this communication apportunity 	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
THE - Extinuits - Extinuits - If th - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the melting date of this communication. ee period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi b. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 6 133)		
Status					
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on No	vember 29, 2004 (Reau	est for Status)		
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims		•		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
7)					
8)🛛					
Applica	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	9 f.			
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		7 7		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage		
Attachme:	• •	of the certified copies no	ot received		
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
		-,			

Application: 10/028,541 Paper No. 20050224

Art Unit: 3627 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-15, drawn to a method of electronic shopping comprising an arrangement for remote ordering, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
 - II. Claims 16-30, drawn to a system or arrangement comprising an application of database or data structure, classified in class 707, subclass 104.1.
 - III. Claims 31-44, drawn to a data processing system for generating database or data structure (e.g., via user interface), classified in class 707, subclass 102.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I is related to each of Inventions II and III, as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another, materially different apparatus, or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another, materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another, materially different apparatus, or by hand, such as, by hand.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In this case, Invention II has separate utility from Invention III, such as for

Application: 10/028,541 Paper No. 20050224

Art Unit: 3627 Page 3

use when the account number is known and can be typed in directly, rather than selected from a list of accounts. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was placed to Mr. Samuel G. Campbell III, Esq. (Reg. No. 42,381), attorney for applicant, on February 24, 2005, to discuss an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but the call did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, to be complete, *must* include an election of the invention to be examined, even if the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

6. A letter from applicant, inquiring as to the status of the instant application, was received on November 29, 2004, and is hereby acknowledged. While, regrettably, it appears that no response has heretofore been provided, this Office action is presumed to be inherently responsive to that request.

Application: 10/028,541 Paper No. 20050224

Art Unit: 3627 Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be directed to the examiner, Jerry O'Connor, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1525, and whose facsimile number is (703) 746-3976.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 14, 2005 the examiner's telephone and facsimile numbers will be changing, to (571) 272-6787 and (571) 273-6787, respectively.

The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183, or, beginning April 14, 2005, at (571) 272-6788.

Official replies to this Office action may be submitted by any one of fax, mail, or hand delivery. Faxed replies are preferred and should be directed to (703) 872-9306 (not changing). Mailed replies should be addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450." Hand delivered replies should be delivered to the "Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314."

GJOC

February 24, 2005

Gerald J. O'Connor

(2-24-05)

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 3627